



The ABPI Code of Practice for the Pharmaceutical Industry sets standards for the promotion of medicines for prescribing to health professionals and the provision of information to the public about prescription only medicines. Publicity is the main sanction when breaches of the Code are ruled. The latest cases ruled in breach of Clause 2 of the Code (a sign of particular censure) are highlighted below.

## Leo Pharma and AstraZeneca have breached the ABPI Code of Practice for the Pharmaceutical Industry and brought discredit upon, and reduced confidence in, the pharmaceutical industry.

### LEO Pharma – Case AUTH/3503/4/21

For the unbalanced and misleading presentation of information and the failure to provide up-to-date safety information in relation to its website promoting Kyntheum (brodalumab), Leo was ruled in breach of the following clauses of the 2019 Code:

- Clause 2** - Bringing discredit upon, and reducing confidence in, the pharmaceutical industry
- Clause 3.2** - Promotion inconsistent with the SPC
- Clause 4.10** - Failing to show an inverted black equilateral triangle to denote that additional monitoring is required in relation to adverse reactions
- Clause 7.2** - Making misleading claims
- Clause 7.3** - Making misleading comparisons
- Clause 7.4** - Making unsubstantiated claims
- Clause 7.9** - Making a claim that did not reflect the available evidence regarding possible adverse reactions
- Clause 7.10** - Not encouraging the rational use of the medicine
- Clause 9.1** - Failing to maintain high standards

### LEO Pharma – Case AUTH/3548/7/21

For the improper conduct by a senior Leo employee in actively seeking confidential discount price information about a competitor product from NHS staff, for the purposes of Leo's own commercial interests, the company was ruled in breach of the following clauses of the 2016 Code:

- Clause 2** - Bringing discredit upon, and reducing confidence in, the pharmaceutical industry
- Clause 9.1** - Failing to maintain high standards

### AstraZeneca – Case AUTH/3585/11/21

For the misleading omission of the upper limit for the dosing of Symbicort (budesonide, formoterol fumarate) and the strong recommendation in the SPC for patients using more than 16 actuations daily to seek medical advice on the AstraZeneca medicines website; and for failing to include the non-proprietary

name for three different medicines and Symbicort prescribing information or a statement as to where it could be found on the Trixeo (formoterol/budesonide/glycopyrronium) website, AstraZeneca was ruled in breach of the following clauses of the 2021 Code:

- Clause 2** - Bringing discredit upon, and reducing confidence in, the pharmaceutical industry
- Clause 5.1** - Failing to maintain high standards
- Clause 6.1** - Providing insufficiently complete information such that it was misleading
- Clause 6.2** - Proving misleading information which was not capable of substantiation
- Clause 12.1** - Failing to include prescribing information
- Clause 12.3** - Failing to include the non-proprietary name immediately adjacent to the most prominent display of a brand name
- Clause 12.4** - Failing to include prescribing information in digital material or by way of a clear, prominent, direct single click
- Clause 12.6** - Failing to include a clear, prominent statement as to where prescribing information could be found

### AstraZeneca – Case AUTH/3618/3/22

For misleading dosing claims on the Forxiga (dapagliflozin) promotional website, which had qualifying and important safety information for patients with severe hepatic impairment in a footnote that could have easily been missed by a health professional, AstraZeneca was ruled in breach of the following clauses of the 2021 Code:

- Clause 2** - Bringing discredit upon, and reducing confidence in, the pharmaceutical industry
- Clause 5.1** - Failing to maintain high standards
- Clause 6.1** - Providing misleading information
- Clause 6.2** - Providing misleading information which was not capable of substantiation
- Clause 11.2** - Promotion inconsistent with the summary of product characteristics
- Clause 14.4** - Not encouraging the rational use of a medicine

The case reports are available at [www.pmcpa.org.uk](http://www.pmcpa.org.uk).

The Prescription Medicines Code of Practice Authority (PMCPA) was established by The Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry (ABPI) to operate the ABPI Code of Practice for the Pharmaceutical Industry independently of the ABPI. The PMCPA is a division of the ABPI. The Code covers the promotion of medicines for prescribing to health professionals and the provision of information to the public about prescription only medicines.

If you have any concerns about the activities of pharmaceutical companies in this regard, please contact the PMCPA at 2nd Floor, Goldings House, Hay's Galleria, 2 Hay's Lane, London, SE1 2HB or email: [complaints@pmcpa.org.uk](mailto:complaints@pmcpa.org.uk).

The Code and other information, including details about ongoing cases, can be found on the PMCPA website: [www.pmcpa.org.uk](http://www.pmcpa.org.uk).